arXiv:0909.0880v1 [math.DG] 4 Sep 2009

SOME ESTIMATES OF WANG-YAU QUASILOCAL ENERGY

PENGZI MIAO[1], LUEN-FAI TAM[2] AND NAQING XIE[3]

Abstract. Given a spacelike 2-surface Σ in a spacetime N and a constant future timelike unit vector T_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, we derive upper and lower estimates of Wang-Yau quasilocal energy $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ for a given isometric embedding X of Σ into a flat 3-slice in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. The quantity $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ itself depends on the choice of X, however the infimum of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ over T_0 does not. In particular, when Σ lies in a time symmetric 3-slice in N and has nonnegative Brown-York quasilocal mass $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma)$, our estimates show that $\inf\limits_{T_0} E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ equals $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma)$. We also study the spatial limit of $\inf\limits_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$, where S_r is a large coordinate sphere in a fixed end of an asymptotically flat initial data set (M, g, p) and X_r is an isometric embeddings of S_r into $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. We show that if (M, g, p) has future timelike ADM energy-momentum, then $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \inf\limits_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$ equals the ADM mass of (M, g, p).

1. Introduction

In [9, 10], Wang and Yau define a *quasilocal energy* $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ for a spacelike 2-surface Σ in a spacetime N, where X is an isometric embedding of Σ into the Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and T_0 is a constant future timelike unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Under the assumptions that the mean curvature vector H of Σ in N and the mean curvature vector H_0 of Σ when embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ are both spacelike, $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ is defined and can be expressed as follows (see [11, (1.2),(1.5)]):

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20; Secondary 83C99.

[1] Research partially supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Grant #DP0987650.

[2] Research partially supported by Hong Kong RGC General Research Fund #GRF 2160357.

[3] Research partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China #10801036.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E(\Sigma, X, T_0)\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \Bigg\{ \sqrt{|H_0|^2(1+|\nabla\tau|^2)+(\Delta\tau)^2} - \sqrt{|H|^2(1+|\nabla\tau|^2)+(\Delta\tau)^2}\\
&\qquad - \Delta\tau\left[\sinh^{-1}\Big(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}|H_0|}\Big) - \sinh^{-1}\Big(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}|H|}\Big)\right]\\
&\qquad - \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}}_{\nabla\tau}\frac{J_0}{|H_0|}, \frac{H_0}{|H_0|}\rangle + \langle \nabla^N_{\nabla\tau}\frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|}\rangle \Bigg\} dv_\Sigma.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
$$

Here $|H_0| = \sqrt{\langle H_0, H_0\rangle}$, $|H| = \sqrt{\langle H, H\rangle}$, $\tau = -\langle X, T_0\rangle$, $\nabla\tau$ and $\Delta\tau$ are the intrinsic gradient and the intrinsic Laplacian of τ on Σ; J is the future timelike unit normal vector field along Σ in N which is dual to H along the light cone in the normal bundle of Σ. Namely, if e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 are orthonormal tangent vectors of N such that e_1, e_2 are tangent to Σ, e_3 is spacelike with $\langle e_3, H\rangle < 0$ and e_4 is future timelike, then $H = \langle H, e_3\rangle e_3 - \langle H, e_4\rangle e_4$ and $J = \langle H, e_4\rangle e_3 - \langle H, e_3\rangle e_4$; J_0 is defined similarly for Σ when embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

Wang and Yau [9, 10] define a new *quasilocal mass* of Σ in N, which we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{WY}(\Sigma)$, to be the infimum of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ over those X and T_0 such that the resulting $\tau = -\langle T_0, X\rangle$ is *admissible* (see [10, Definition 5.1] for the definition of admissible data). They prove an important property on positivity of $\mathfrak{m}_{WY}(\Sigma)$ under the assumption that N satisfies the usual dominant energy condition. Note that, if Σ has positive Gaussian curvature, then $\tau = 0$ is admissible [10, Remark 1.1]. Hence, $\mathfrak{m}_{WY}(\Sigma) \le E(\Sigma, X, \hat{T}_0) = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)$, where X is an embedding of Σ into $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\}$, $\hat{T}_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)$ is the Liu-Yau quasilocal mass of Σ [5, 6]. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)$ equals the Brown-York quasilocal mass $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(\Sigma)$ [2, 3] if in addition Σ bounds a compact time-symmetric hypersurface.

The expression of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ is rather complicated. It is not clear if $\mathfrak{m}_{WY}(\Sigma)$ can be achieved by some admissible data. In [11], restricting to an embedding X of Σ into $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, Wang and Yau study the spatial limit of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ on an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface. Motivated by their work, we want to get some lower and upper estimates of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ for a fixed 2-surface Σ.

More precisely, let us assume that Σ has positive Gaussian curvature. Isometrically embed Σ in $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\}$ and let X be the embedding. Let $T_0 = (\sqrt{1 + |a|^2}, a)$, where $a = (a^1, a^2, a^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, be a constant future timelike unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. In this work, we will prove the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{1+|a|^2}\,(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)+C)-\sum_{i=1}^{3}a^i\mathcal{V}_i&\\ &\geq E(\Sigma,X,T_0)\\ &\geq\sqrt{1+|a|^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)-\sum_{i=1}^{3}a^i\mathcal{V}_i\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

where C *is a constant depending only on* $|H_0|$, $|H|$ *and their integrals on* Σ, *and* $\mathcal{V}=(\mathcal{V}_1,\mathcal{V}_2,\mathcal{V}_3)$ *is a constant vector in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *so that* $-\sum_{i=1}^{3}a^i\mathcal{V}_i$ *equals* $\int_\Sigma\langle\nabla^N_{\nabla\tau}\frac{J}{|H|},\frac{H}{|H|}\rangle dv_\Sigma$ *in* (1.1). Note that, if we let

$$\mathcal{W}=(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma),\mathcal{V}),$$

then $\sqrt{1+|a|^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)-\sum_{i=1}^{3}a^i\mathcal{V}_i$ is exactly $-\langle T_0,\mathcal{W}\rangle$, and (1.2) can be written as:

$$-\langle T_0,\mathcal{W}\rangle+C\sqrt{1+|a|^2}\geq E(\Sigma,X,T_0)\geq-\langle T_0,\mathcal{W}\rangle.\tag{1.3}$$

An immediate application of the estimates (1.2) is the following result relating the Wang-Yau quasilocal energy and the Brown-York quasilocal mass:

With the above notations, suppose Σ *bounds a compact, time-symmetric hypersurface* Ω *in a spacetime* N *satisfying the dominant energy condition. Suppose* Σ *has positive Gaussian curvature and has positive mean curvature in* Ω *with respect to the outward unit normal, then*

$$\inf_{T_0}E(\Sigma,X,T_0)=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(\Sigma).$$

Another application of (1.2) is in the study of the spatial limit of Wang-Yau quasilocal energy on an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface. Recall that a spacelike hypersurface M with induced metric g and second fundamental form p in a spacetime N is called *asymptotically flat* if there is a compact set K such that $M\setminus K$ has finitely many ends, each of which is diffeomorphic to the complement of a Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and such that the metric g is of the form $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}+a_{ij}$ so that

$$r|a_{ij}|+r^2|\partial a_{ij}|+r^3|\partial\partial a_{ij}|\leq C\tag{1.4}$$

and p_{ij} satisfies

$$r^2|p_{ij}|+r^3|\partial p_{ij}|\leq C\tag{1.5}$$

for some constant C, where $r=|x|$ denotes the coordinate length in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In [11], Wang and Yau prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. [11, Theorem 3.1] *Let* (M, g, p) *be an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface in a spacetime* N. *On any given end of* M, *let* S_r *be the coordinate sphere of radius* r, *let* X_r *be the isometric embedding of* S_r *into* $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\}$ *given by Lemma 3.1, and let* $T_0 = (\sqrt{1 + |a|^2}, a^1, a^2, a^3)$ *be a fixed future timelike unit vector in* $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = \sqrt{1 + |a|^2} E + \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i P_i, \tag{1.6}$$

where E *is the ADM energy of* (M, g, p) *and* $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ *is the ADM linear momentum of* (M, g, p).

Since the Wang-Yau quasilocal mass $\mathfrak{m}_{_{WY}}(\Sigma)$ is defined as the infimum of a class of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$, we would like to understand the asymptotical behavior of the infimum $\inf\limits_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$ as $r \to \infty$. Note that in Theorem 1.1, if $E > |P|$ and hence the ADM mass [1] $\mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}$ of (M, g, p) is positive, then it is not hard to see that

$$\inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{1 + |a|^2} E + \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i P_i \right) = \sqrt{E^2 - |P|^2} = \mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}. \tag{1.7}$$

Applying the estimates (1.2), we will prove the following:

With the notations given in Theorem 1.1, suppose N *satisfies the dominant energy condition and suppose* N *is not flat along* M, *then*

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = \mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}. \tag{1.8}$$

Again, since $\mathfrak{m}_{_{WY}}(S_r)$ is defined to be the infimum of $E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$ over those isometric embeddings $X_r : \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ and constant future timelike unit vectors T_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ such that $\tau = -\langle X_r, T_0 \rangle$ are admissible, we remark that it is yet unclear whether $\lim\limits_{r \to \infty} \mathfrak{m}_{_{WY}}(S_r) = \mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}$.

2. Estimates of Wang-Yau quasi-local energy

In this section, we derive the main estimates of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ for a given isometric embedding X of Σ into a flat 3-slice in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. Precisely, let Σ be a spacelike 2-surface in a spacetime N such that Σ has positive Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature vector H of Σ in N is spacelike. Let X be an isometric embedding of Σ into $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\}$ and let $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ be an arbitrary constant future timelike unit vector. Let $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ be given by (1.1) with $\tau = -\langle T_0, X \rangle$. Since

X is only unique up to a rigid motion of $\mathbb{R}^3$, we note that $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ depends on the choice of X, but the infimum

$$\inf_{T_0} E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \tag{2.1}$$

does not. Hence, if it is finite, (2.1) gives a geometric invariant of Σ in N.

First, we define a constant vector $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\Sigma, X) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ associated to Σ and X. Let $\alpha_{\hat{e}_3}(\cdot)$ be the connection 1-form on Σ introduced in [10, (1.3)] which is defined as

$$\alpha_{\hat{e}_3}(Y) = \langle \nabla^N_Y \frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|} \rangle, \quad \forall\, Y \in T\Sigma. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $V = V(\Sigma)$ be the vector field on Σ that is dual to $\alpha_{\hat{e}_3}(\cdot)$. Given an embedding $X : \Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we identify V with $dX(V)$ through the tangent map dX and hence view $V = V(\Sigma, X)$ as an $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued vector field along Σ. Now define

$$\mathcal{V}(\Sigma, X) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma V dv_\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}(\Sigma, X) = (\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma), \mathcal{V}(\Sigma, X)) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)$ is the Liu-Yau quasilocal mass defined in [5, 6], i.e.

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma (k_0 - |H|) dv_\Sigma, \tag{2.5}$$

where k_0 is the mean curvature of Σ with respect to the outward unit normal when isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Note that if Σ bounds a compact, time-symmetric hypersurface Ω in N and Σ has positive mean curvature in Ω with respect to the outward unit normal, then $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)$ agrees with the Brown-York quasilocal mass $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma)$ [2, 3].

If X differs by a rigid motion in $\mathbb{R}^3$, then $\mathcal{V}(\Sigma, X)$ differs by a corresponding rotation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathcal{W}(\Sigma, X)$ differs by a rotation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ considered as a Lorentzian transformation in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Σ, X, T_0 and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\Sigma, X)$ and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\Sigma, X)$ be given as above. The followings are true:*

(i) *Suppose* $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle T_0, \mathcal{W}\rangle + C\sqrt{1+|a|^2} =& \sqrt{1+|a|^2}\,(\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) + C) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i \mathcal{V}_i \\ \geq& E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \\ \geq& \sqrt{1+|a|^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i \mathcal{V}_i \\ =& -\langle T_0, \mathcal{W}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $T_0 = (\sqrt{1+|a|^2}, a^1, a^2, a^3)$ *and* C *is the constant given by*

$$C = \sup_{\Sigma} \left| \left(\frac{|H_0|^2}{|H|^2} + \frac{|H_0|}{|H|} - 2 \right) \right| \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |(|H_0| - |H|)| \,.$$

Moreover, the equality

$$E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = -\langle T_0, \mathcal{W}\rangle \tag{2.7}$$

holds if and only if $T_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ *or* $|H| = |H_0|$ *everywhere on* Σ. *In this second case,* $E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i \mathcal{V}_i$, $\forall\ T_0$.

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{W}$ *is future timelike, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}\rangle} + C\frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)}{\sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}\rangle}} \geq& E(\Sigma, X, T_0^*) \\ \geq& \inf_{T_0} E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}\rangle}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $T_0^* = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{\sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}\rangle}}$.

(iii) *The vector* $-\mathcal{V}$ *is the gradient of the function* $E(a) = E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ *at* $a = (0, 0, 0)$. *If in addition* $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) \geq 0$, *then* $\mathcal{V} = 0$ *if and only if*

$$\inf_{T_0} E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = \mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. (i) Let $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, 2\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T_p\Sigma$ for any $p \in \Sigma$. Identifying e_α with $dX(e_\alpha)$ through the tangent map dX, we have

$$\nabla \tau = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (e_\alpha \langle a, X\rangle)\, e_\alpha = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \langle a, e_\alpha\rangle e_\alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\langle \nabla^N_{\nabla\tau} \frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|}\rangle = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \langle a, e_\alpha\rangle \langle \nabla^N_{e_\alpha} \frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|}\rangle = -\langle a, V\rangle, \tag{2.10}$$

where V is the vector field on Σ dual to the connection 1-form $\alpha_{\hat{e}_3}(\cdot)$ defined in (2.2). On the other hand,

$$-\langle \nabla^{\mathbb{R}^{3,1}}_{\nabla\tau} \frac{J_0}{|H_0|}, \frac{H_0}{|H_0|} \rangle = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

since $X(\Sigma)$ lies in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore, by (1.1), (2.10)-(2.11), we have

$$E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = \tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0) - \langle a, \mathcal{V} \rangle, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0) \\ =&\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \Bigg\{ \sqrt{|H_0|^2(1+|\nabla\tau|^2)+(\Delta\tau)^2} - \sqrt{|H|^2(1+|\nabla\tau|^2)+(\Delta\tau)^2} \\ &- \Delta\tau \left[\sinh^{-1}\Big(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}|H_0|}\Big) - \sinh^{-1}\Big(\frac{\Delta\tau}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}|H|}\Big) \right] \Bigg\} dv_\Sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We first prove that:

$$\tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \sqrt{1+|a|^2}\,\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma). \tag{2.14}$$

To prove (2.14), we use spherical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\rho \geq 0$ be a scalar and $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$ be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Write $a = \rho\omega$, we have

$$\tau = -\langle a, X \rangle = -\rho \langle \omega, X \rangle,$$

$$\Delta\tau = -\langle a, \Delta X \rangle = -\langle \rho\omega, H_0 \rangle = \rho k_0 \langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle,$$

$$1 + |\nabla\tau|^2 = 1 + \rho^2(1 - \langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle^2),$$

where H_0 is the mean curvature vector of $X(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, $e^{H_0} = -\frac{H_0}{|H_0|}$ is the outward unit normal to $X(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $k_0 = |H_0|$ is the mean curvature of $X(\Sigma)$ with respect to e^{H_0} in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

In what follows, we let

$$p = \langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle, \quad q = \sqrt{1 - \langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle^2} \tag{2.15}$$

be two functions on Σ. In terms of p, q, we have

$$\Delta\tau = \rho k_0 p, \quad 1 + |\nabla\tau|^2 = 1 + \rho^2 q^2 \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\frac{\Delta\tau}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\tau|^2}} = k_0 \frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2 q^2}}. \tag{2.17}$$

The quantity $\tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ now becomes a function of ρ and ω, say $\tilde{E}(\rho, \omega)$. By (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}(\rho,\omega) =& \tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0)\\ =& \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma \Bigg\{ \left[\sqrt{k_0^2(1+\rho^2)} - \sqrt{k_0^2\rho^2p^2 + k^2(1+\rho^2q^2)}\right] \\ & + \rho k_0 p \left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2q^2}}\frac{k_0}{k}\right) - \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2q^2}}\right)\right]\Bigg\},\end{aligned}$$

where $k = |H| > 0$ and H is the mean curvature vector of Σ in N. Henceforth, we omit writing the volume form dv_Σ for simplicity.

Since $k_0 > 0$, we can rewrite $\tilde{E}(\rho,\omega)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}(\rho,\omega) =& \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma k_0 \Bigg\{ \left[\sqrt{1+\rho^2} - \sqrt{\rho^2p^2 + t^2(1+\rho^2q^2)}\right] \\ & + k_0(\rho p) \left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2q^2}}t^{-1}\right) - \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2q^2}}\right)\right]\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $t = \frac{k}{k_0} > 0$ is a function on Σ. Let

$$f(\rho,\omega) = \frac{\rho p}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2q^2}}, \tag{2.19}$$

then

$$1+\rho^2q^2 = \frac{1+\rho^2}{1+f^2}, \quad p = \frac{f}{\rho}\frac{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{2.20}$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned}B(\rho,\omega) =& \sqrt{1+\rho^2} - \sqrt{\rho^2p^2 + t^2(1+\rho^2q^2)},\\ =& \sqrt{1+\rho^2}\left(1 - \frac{(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$F(\rho,\omega) = \rho p\left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right) - \sinh^{-1}(f)\right]. \tag{2.22}$$

By (2.18), we have

$$\tilde{E}(\rho,\omega) = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma k_0[B(\rho,\omega) + F(\rho,\omega)]. \tag{2.23}$$

Direct calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} = p(1+\rho^2q^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{f(1+f^2)}{\rho(1+\rho^2)}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho} =& \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2}}\left(1-\frac{(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\\
&-(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{f\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}}{(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{f\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho}(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \qquad (2.25)\\
=&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}-\frac{(1-t^2)f^2+\rho^2(t^2+f^2)}{\rho\,(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} =& p\left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)-\sinh^{-1}(f)\right]+\\
&\rho p\left[\left(1+\frac{f^2}{t^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{t}-\left(1+f^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]\frac{df}{d\rho}\\
=&-\frac{f}{\rho}\frac{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\sinh^{-1}f+\frac{f(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\rho^2)}\right] \qquad (2.26)\\
&+\frac{f}{\rho}\frac{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)+\frac{f(1+f^2)}{(1+\rho^2)(t^2+f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assume $\rho > 0$ whenever ρ appears in a denominator. By (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)\\
=&\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma k_0\left[\frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1-t)\right] \qquad (2.27)\\
=&\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_\Sigma k_0\left(\Phi(1)-\Phi(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) =&\frac{(1-t^2)f^2+\rho^2(t^2+f^2)}{\rho\,(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}\,(1+f^2)^{\frac12}\,(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\\&-\frac{f}{\rho}\frac{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac12}}\left[\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)+\frac{f(1+f^2)}{(1+\rho^2)(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}}\right]\\&-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}t\\=&\frac{1}{\rho\,(1+f^2)^{\frac12}\,(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\left[-f(1+\rho^2)\sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)+(\rho^2-f^2)(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}\right]\\&-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}t.\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}=&\frac{f^2(1+\rho^2)+(\rho^2-f^2)t^2}{t\rho\,(1+f^2)^{\frac12}\,(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}\,(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}}-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\\=&\left[\frac{(1-t^2)f^2+\rho^2(t^2+f^2)}{t\rho^2\,(1+f^2)^{\frac12}\,(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}}-1\right]\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Hence if $0<t\le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}\ge&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\left[\frac{(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}}{t(1+f^2)^{\frac12}}-1\right]\\=&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\left[\frac{\left(1+\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)^2\right)^{\frac12}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac12}}-1\right]\\\ge&0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Similarly, if $t>1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}\le&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\left[\frac{(t^2+f^2)^{\frac12}}{t(1+f^2)^{\frac12}}-1\right]\\=&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\left[\frac{\left(1+\left(\frac{f}{t}\right)^2\right)^{\frac12}}{(1+f^2)^{\frac12}}-1\right]\\\le&0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Therefore, $\Phi(1)=\max_{t>0}\Phi(t)$. By (2.27), we have

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{E}}{\partial\rho}\geq\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma) \tag{2.32}$$

for all $\rho>0$. Integrating (2.32) and note that $\tilde{E}(0,\omega)=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)$, we conclude

$$\tilde{E}(\rho,\omega)\geq\sqrt{1+\rho^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma), \tag{2.33}$$

which proves (2.14). Now the lower bound of $E(\Sigma,X,T_0)$ in (2.6) follows directly from (2.12) and (2.14).

In order to obtain an upper bound for $E(\Sigma,X,T_0)$, by (2.29) and using the fact $f^2\leq\rho^2$, we have, if $0<t_0\leq t\leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}&\leq\left(\frac{1}{t^2}+\frac{1}{t}-2\right)\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\\&\leq\left(\frac{1}{t_0^2}+\frac{1}{t_0}-2\right)\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

Hence by the mean value theorem, if $0<t_0\leq 1$,

$$\Phi(1)-\Phi(t_0)\leq(1-t_0)\left(\frac{1}{t_0^2}+\frac{1}{t_0}-2\right)\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}. \tag{2.35}$$

Similarly, if $t_0\geq t\geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}&\geq\left(\frac{1}{t^2}+\frac{1}{t}-2\right)\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\\&\geq\left(\frac{1}{t_0^2}+\frac{1}{t_0}-2\right)\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.36}$$

Thus (2.35) is also true for $t_0\geq 1$. By (2.27) and (2.35),

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial\tilde{E}}{\partial\rho}-\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)\\\leq&\frac{\rho}{(1+\rho^2)^{\frac12}}\sup_{\Sigma}\left|\left(\frac{|H_0|^2}{|H|^2}+\frac{|H_0|}{|H|}-2\right)\right|\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\Sigma}|(|H_0|-|H|)|\,.\end{aligned}\tag{2.37}$$

From this, it is easy to see that the upper bound for $E(\Sigma,X,T_0)$ in (2.6) holds.

To prove the rest part of (i), we note that if $T_0=(1,0,0,0)$, then $E(\Sigma,X,T_0)=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(\Sigma)$; if $|H|=|H_0|$, then $\tilde{E}(X,\Sigma,T_0)=0$. Hence (2.7) holds automatically in either case. Now suppose (2.7) is true for some T_0 with $a=\rho_0\omega$ where $\rho_0>0$, then by the proof of (2.33), we have $\Phi(t)=\Phi(1)$ everywhere on Σ for any $0<\rho\leq\rho_0$. A detailed examination of (2.29)-(2.31) shows that, at points $x\in\Sigma$ where $f=0$, we have $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0$, $\forall t$; while at points $x \in \Sigma$ where $f \neq 0$, we have $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} > 0$, $\forall 0 < t < 1$ and $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} < 0$, $\forall t > 1$. Therefore, the fact $\Phi(t) = \Phi(1)$ on Σ implies that $t = 1$ on the subset $\{f \neq 0\} \subset \Sigma$. On the other hand, since $\rho_0 > 0$, we know $f = 0$ if and only if $p = 0$ or equivalently $\langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle = 0$. Since $X(\Sigma)$ is a strictly convex close surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the Gauss map that sends a point on Σ to its outward unit normal e^{H_0} is a diffeomorphism from Σ to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Therefore, the set $\{\langle \omega, e^{H_0} \rangle = 0\}$ is a closed embedded curve in Σ. Consequently, its complement $\{f \neq 0\}$ is dense in Σ. Therefore, $t = 1$ and hence $|H| = |H_0|$ everywhere on Σ. In this case, by definition, $\tilde{E}(\Sigma, X, T_0) = 0$, and by (2.12), $E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i \mathcal{V}_i$.

(ii) Suppose $\mathcal{W}$ is future timelike, then $-\langle T_0, \mathcal{W} \rangle$ attains its minimum over all future timelike unit vector T_0 at $T_0 = \mathcal{W}/\sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \rangle}$. Now (2.8) follows directly from (2.6).

(iii) At $\rho = 0$, we observe that f, $\frac{\partial B}{\partial \rho}$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho}$ all equal 0 by (2.19) and (2.25)-(2.26), hence $\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \rho} = 0$ by (2.23). Therefore, the gradient of $E(a)$ at $a = (0, 0, 0)$ is $-\mathcal{V}$ by (2.12). Now if (2.9) holds, then $a = (0, 0, 0)$ is a critical point of $E(a)$ by the fact that $E(0) = \mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)$, therefore $-\mathcal{V} = 0$. On the other hand, suppose $-\mathcal{V} = 0$, by (2.6) we have $E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \sqrt{1 + |a|^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)$, which implies $E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma)$ by the assumption that $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) \geq 0$. Hence, (2.9) holds.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

□

Suppose Σ sits in a time-symmetric slice in N, then one would like to compare the Brown-York mass of Σ and the Wang-Yau energy of Σ. As an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose Σ bounds a compact, time-symmetric hypersurface Ω in a spacetime N satisfying the dominant energy condition. Suppose Σ has positive mean curvature k in Ω with respect to the outward unit normal ν, then*

$$\inf_{T_0} E(\Sigma, X, T_0) = \mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma),$$

and the infimum is achieved at $T_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma) > 0$, then $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the unique absolute minimum point of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ when viewed as a function of T_0.

Proof. Let n be the future timelike unit normal to Ω in N. Since Ω is time-symmetric and $k = -\langle H, \nu \rangle > 0$, we have $H = -k\nu$, $J = kn$, and $\nabla^N_Y \frac{J}{|H|} = \nabla^N_Y n = 0$ for any vector Y tangent to Ω. Hence, the vector field V vanishes pointwise on Σ. As a result, $\mathcal{V} = \int_\Sigma V dv_\Sigma = 0$. By

Theorem 2.1 and the fact $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{LY}}(\Sigma) = \mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma)$ under the assumptions, we have

$$E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \sqrt{1+|a|^2}\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma). \tag{2.38}$$

On the other hand, by the positivity results on the Brown-York mass [8], we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma) \geq 0. \tag{2.39}$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.38) and (2.39) that

$$E(\Sigma, X, T_0) \geq \mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma), \tag{2.40}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma)$ is the value of $E(\Sigma, X, T_0)$ when $T_0 = (1,0,0,0)$. If $\mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma) > 0$, (2.38) further implies

$$E(\Sigma, X, T_0) > \mathfrak{m}_{\text{BY}}(\Sigma) \tag{2.41}$$

for any $T_0 \neq (1,0,0,0)$. The corollary is thus proved. □

3. LARGE SPHERE LIMIT OF WANG-YAU QUASI-LOCAL ENERGY AT SPATIAL INFINITY

In this section, we study the asymptotical behavior of $E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$ in an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface (M, g, p) in a spacetime N, where S_r is the coordinate sphere in a fixed end of M and X_r is a suitably chosen embedding of S_r into $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(0,x) \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}\}$.

First, we recall the definition of the ADM energy-momentum of (M, g, p). Let $\{y^i \mid i = 1,2,3\}$ be an asymptotic flat coordinate chart on M, the ADM energy-momentum [1] of (M, g, p) is a four covector

$$(E, P_1, P_2, P_3),$$

where

$$E = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_{S_r} (\partial_j g_{ij} - \partial_i g_{jj})\nu^i dv_r$$

is the ADM energy of (M, g, p) and

$$P_k = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_{S_r} 2(p_{ik} - \delta_{ik}p_{jj})\nu^i dv_r$$

is the ADM linear momentum of (M, g, p) in the y^k-direction. Here S_r is the coordinate sphere $\{|y| = r\}$ and $\nu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ is the outward unit normal to S_r.

Under the assumptions (1.4) and (1.5) on (M, g, p), we have the following fact from [4, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, g, p) be an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface in a spacetime N. Let $Y = (y^1, y^2, y^3)$ be the asymptotically flat coordinates on a fixed end of M. There exist an r_0 and a constant C independent of r such that for $r \geq r_0$, there is an isometric embedding $X_r = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ of $S_r = \{|y| = r\}$ into the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that*

$$|X_r - Y| + r||\nabla X_r - \nabla Y||_{h_r} + r^2\Big||H_0| - |H|\Big| + r|n_r^0 - n_r| \leq C$$

where X_r and Y are considered as $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued functions on the sphere S_r, H_0 is the mean curvature vector of $X_r(S_r)$, the gradient $\nabla(\cdot)$ and the norm $||\cdot||_{h_r}$ are taken with respect to the induced metric h_r on S_r, n_r^0 is the unit outward normal of $X_r(S_r)$, and $n_r = Y/|Y|$.

We will always work with the embedding X_r of S_r provided by Lemma 3.1. Given such an X_r, we let

$$\mathcal{V}_r = \mathcal{V}(S_r, X_r), \mathcal{W}_r = \mathcal{W}(S_r, X_r) \tag{3.1}$$

which are defined by (2.3) and (2.4).

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, g, p), S_r, Y and X_r be as in Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{V}_r$, $\mathcal{W}_r$ be given in* (3.1). *Let $E(S_r, X_r, T_0)$ be the Wang-Yau quasi-local energy of S_r, where $T_0 = (\sqrt{1 + |a|^2}, a)$ is any constant future timelike unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ with $a = (a^1, a^2, a^3)$. Then the followings are true:*

(i)
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{W}_r = (E, -P_1, -P_2, -P_3), \tag{3.2}$$
where $(E, -P_1, -P_2, -P_3)$ is the ADM energy-momentum four vector of (M, g, p).

(ii)
$$E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = -\langle T_0, \mathcal{W}_r\rangle + \epsilon(r)(1 + |a|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.3}$$
where $\epsilon(r)$ is a quantity such that $\epsilon(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ uniformly in a.

(iii) *Suppose $(E, -P_1, -P_2, -P_3)$ is future timelike. Then*
$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to\infty} \inf_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) &= \mathfrak{m}_{ADM} \\ &= \lim_{r\to\infty} E(S_r, X_r, T_{0r}^*),\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$
where $\mathfrak{m}_{ADM} = \sqrt{E^2 - |P|^2}$ is the ADM mass of (M, g, p) and $T_{0r}^* = \mathcal{W}_r/\sqrt{-\langle\mathcal{W}_r, \mathcal{W}_r\rangle}$ for sufficiently large r.

Proof. (i) By the proof of [11, Theorem 3.1], we know

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{S_r} \langle\nabla^N_{\nabla\tau}\frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|}\rangle dv_r = \sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i P_i. \tag{3.5}$$

By (2.10), we have

$$\langle \nabla^N_{\nabla\tau} \frac{J}{|H|}, \frac{H}{|H|} \rangle = -\langle a, V \rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

where $V = V_r$ is the vector field on S_r dual to the 1-form $\alpha_{\hat{e}_3}(\cdot)$ defined in (2.2). Therefore, by the definition of $\mathcal{V}_r$ and (3.5)-(3.6),

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \langle a, \mathcal{V}_r \rangle = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{S_r} \langle a, V \rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} a^i P_i. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $a = (a^1, a^2, a^3)$ can be chosen arbitrarily, (3.7) implies

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathcal{V}_r = -(P_1, P_2, P_3). \tag{3.8}$$

Next, we show

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(S_r) = E. \tag{3.9}$$

By [4], we have $\lim_{r\to\infty} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(S_r) = E$. Hence, it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(S_r) - \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(S_r)) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from the definitions of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(S_r)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(S_r)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(S_r) - \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{BY}}(S_r) &= \int_{S_r} (k - |H|) dv_r \\ &= \int_{S_r} (k - \sqrt{k^2 - (\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p)^2}) dv_r, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where k is the mean curvature of S_r in (M, g) with respect to the outward unit normal ν_r and $\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p$ denotes the trace of p restricted to S_r. Write $\nu_r = \nu^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$, by [4, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\nu^i = \frac{y^i}{r} + O(r^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad k = \frac{2}{r} + O(r^{-2}). \tag{3.12}$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p = g^{ij} p_{ij} - p(\nu_r, \nu_r) = O(r^{-2}). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore,

$$k - \sqrt{k^2 - (\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p)^2} = \frac{(\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p)^2}{k + \sqrt{k^2 - (\mathrm{tr}_{S_r} p)^2}} = O(r^{-3}), \tag{3.14}$$

which together with (3.11) implies (3.10).

(ii) By (2.6) in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$|E(S_r, X_r, T_0) - (-\langle T_0, \mathcal{W}_r \rangle)| \le C_r (1 + |a|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$C_r = \sup_{S_r} \left| \left(\frac{|H_0|^2}{|H|^2} + \frac{|H_0|}{|H|} - 2 \right) \right| \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{S_r} |(|H_0| - |H|)| \, .$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the fact $|H| = 2r^{-1} + O(r^{-2})$ in (3.12), we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} C_r = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, (3.3) follows from (3.15) and (3.16).

(iii) Since $(E, -P_1, -P_2, -P_3)$ is future timelike, by (i) $\mathcal{W}_r$ is future timelike if r is sufficiently large. For such an r, by (2.8) in Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}_r, \mathcal{W}_r \rangle} + C_r \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{LY}}(S_r)}{\sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}_r, \mathcal{W}_r \rangle}} \geq & E(S_r, X_r, T_{0r}^*) \\ & \geq \inf_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) \geq \sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}_r, \mathcal{W}_r \rangle}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now (3.4) follows from (3.17), (3.16), (3.9) and the fact that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sqrt{-\langle \mathcal{W}_r, \mathcal{W}_r \rangle} = \mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ☐

Corollary 3.1. *Let (M, g, p) be an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface in a spacetime N satisfying the dominant energy condition. With the same notations as in Theorem 3.1, if N is not flat along M, then*

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = \mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}}.$$

Proof. By the positive mass theorem of Schoen-Yau [7] and Witten [12], the ADM energy-momentum of (M, g, p) is future timelike unless N is flat along M. The result now follows from Theorem 3.1. ☐

Suppose there is an end of (M, g, p) such that $\mathfrak{m}_{_{ADM}} = 0$, by [7, 12] we know N is flat along M, (M, g, p) has only one end and can be isometrically embedded in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, moreover $E = 0$, $P_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By [10, 11], we then have:

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{\{\text{admissible } T_0\}} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = 0.$$

It is still unclear whether the following is true,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{T_0} E(S_r, X_r, T_0) = 0.$$

References

[1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. Misner, *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity.* Phys. Rev. **122** (1961), 997-1006.

[2] J. D. Brown and J. M. York, Jr., *Quasilocal energy in general relativity.* In Mathematical aspects of classical field theory (Seattle, WA, 1991), Contemp. Math. **132**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, 129–142.

[3] J. D. Brown and J. M. York, Jr., *Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action.*, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993), 1407–1419.

[4] X.-Q. Fan, Y. Shi, and L.-F. Tam, *Large-sphere and small-sphere limits of the Brown-York mass.* Commun. Anal. Geom. **17** (2009), 37–72.

[5] C.-C. M. Liu and S.-T. Yau,*Positivity of quasilocal mass,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003), 231102.

[6] C.-C. M. Liu and S.-T. Yau, *Positivity of quasilocal mass II,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 1, 181–204.

[7] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II,* Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 231–260.

[8] Y. Shi and L.-F. Tam, *Positive mass theorem and the boundary behaviors of compact manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature,* J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), 79–125.

[9] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Quasilocal mass in general relativity.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009), 021101.

[10] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Isometric embeddings into the Minkowski space and new quasi-local mass.* Commun. Math. Phys. **288** (2009), 919-942.

[11] M.-T. Wang and S.-T. Yau, *Limit of quasilocal mass at spatial infinity.* arXiv:0906.0200v2.

[12] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem.* Commun. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), 381–402.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Victoria, 3800, Australia.
E-mail address: `Pengzi.Miao@sci.monash.edu.au`

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China.
E-mail address: `lftam@math.cuhk.edu.hk`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: `nqxie@fudan.edu.cn`